

R E M A R K S

This paper is responsive to the Office Action dated August 25, 2008. Claims 1 – 2 are amended. Claims 1 – 8, 12 – 13 and 15 – 22 are in the application.

Applicants respectfully traverse the § 112, first paragraph, rejection of claims 1 – 8, 12 – 13 and 15 – 22 for lack of enablement.

While not acquiescing in the propriety of the rejection, the terms “hydrocarbonyl”, “heteroaryl” and “chemical constituent” have been deleted from claims 1, 5 and 6 and – where necessary – replaced with the more detailed definition of these features provided in the description (see page 16 line 15 to page 17 line 14, and page 18 line 23 to page 19, line 19).

In addition, the term “isocyanate” recited in claim 2 has been replaced with “isonitrile”.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the § 112 rejection are respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submits that the subject matter of the claims 1 – 8, 12 – 13 and 15 – 22 is patentable and that such claims are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and withdrawal of all rejections are respectfully requested, along with the issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

USPTO Customer No. 26748
Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.
Patent and Trademark Dept.
410 Swing Road
Greensboro, NC 27409
(336) 632-7706

/William A. Teoli, Jr./
William A. Teoli, Jr.
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 33,104

Date: November 25, 2008